INTRODUCTION

The invertebrates are the main source of biologically active natural compounds among marine organisms. Over the last 25 years, sponges have been a privileged research topic due to the large number of biologically active metabolites produced by the gender Porifera. These metabolites are part of the chemical arsenal employed by these organisms against predators or to mark their territory. Verongida sponges attract the attention of chemists and biologists as natural sources of unusual fatty acids, steroids, carotenoids and aminoacids. For example, from Aplysina cavernicola several biologically active compounds such as 3-bromoverongiaquinol, 5-monobromocavernicolin have been isolated. In 2002, compound 2 was also obtained from another marine sponge (Suberea aff. praetensa).

![Figure 1. Marine metabolites (+/-)-1 and (+/-)-2](image)

While 3-bromoverongiaquinol (1) displayed bactericidal properties against Streptococcus faecalis and Bacillus subtilis, 5-monobromocavernicolin (2) represents the first example of a marine natural product isolated in almost racemic form (6% ee) which was shown to inhibit the growth of Sarcina lutea, Bacillus subtilis, Alcaligenes faecalis and Proteus vulgaris.

Racemic 3-bromoverongiaquinol (1) has been previously prepared twice via anodic oxidation, albeit in very low yields (2.5 and 6.3% yield), and the relative configuration of 5-monobromocavernicolin (2) was proposed based solely on spectroscopic and mass spectrometry data as no total synthesis has been reported so far.

We were attracted to the synthesis of compounds 1 and 2 not only because the biological profile of 5-monobromocavernicolin (2) remains unexplored, but also because these two compounds may reasonably be biogenetically interconnected as (+/-)-2 might conceivably be formed via a 1,4-addition of the amide group to the less hindered conjugated double bond in (+/-)-1. Therefore, it was our expectation at the onset of this work to contribute with a synthetic route to both 3-bromoverongiaquinol (1) and 5-monobromocavernicolin (2).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The synthesis of compounds 1 and 2 was envisaged starting with the 1,2-addition of the lithium enolate derived from N,N'-bistrimethylsilylacetamide (BSA, 4) to 1,4-benzoquinone (3) (Scheme 1). Our first attempt was based on an analogous transformation reported by Evans and coworkers after generation of the lithium enolate of BSA (4) in THF at -78 °C, the addition of 1,4-benzoquinone (3) was carried out at -100 °C, to afford a mixture of silyl amide 5 (28% yield) and amide 6 (5% yield) after warm up to 0 °C, aqueous acid treatment and purification by chromatography on silica gel (Table 1, entry 1).

![Scheme 1. Preparation of amides 5 and 6](image)

By increasing the reaction temperature to room temperature after the addition of 1,4-benzoquinone (3) to the lithium enolate of BSA (4) at -100 °C, the combined yield of amides 5 and 6 increased to 36% with amide 6 as the major product (Table 1, entry 2). The addition of DMPU or HMPA did not prove to be beneficial (Table 1, entries 3 and 4) and the use of the sodium enolate of BSA provided neither amide 5 nor 6 (Table 1, entry 5). The best combined yield of amides 5 and 6 (56%) was achieved by employing 2.0 equiv. of the lithium enolate of BSA and reaction temperature ranging from -100 °C to rt,
which afforded amide 6 as the major product in 38% yield accompanied by silyl amide 5 in 18% yield, after column chromatography on silica gel (Table 1, entry 6).

Table 1. Reaction conditions and yields for amides 5 and 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>BSA (equiv.)</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>5 (%)</th>
<th>6 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-100 ºC to 0 ºC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-100 ºC to rt</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-100 ºC to rt</td>
<td>DMPU</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-100 ºC to rt</td>
<td>HMPA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NaHMDS</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-100 ºC to rt</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-100 ºC to rt</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We next investigated the bromination reaction of amides 5 and 6 in order to achieve the preparation of 3-bromoverongiaquinol (1). Despite being only partially soluble in CHCl₃, each amide reacted with bromine at 0 ºC to rt via the dibromo derivative (+/-)-7, as revealed by ¹H-NMR data of the crude mixture, to afford, after column chromatography on silica gel, 3-bromoverongiaquinol (1) in reasonable overall yield (67%) in both cases. Acetonitrile proved to be the best solvent and, after the same sequence of steps, both amides 5 and 6 provided 3-bromoverongiaquinol (1) in 89% overall yield (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Synthesis of (+/-)-3-bromoverongiaquinol (1)

To explore the preparation of 5-monobromocavernicolin (2) directly from silyl amide 5 and amide 6 (Scheme 3), their bromination products were treated with LDA but (+/-)-5-monobromocavernicolin (2) was isolated in low yield both from silyl amide 5 and from amide 6 (Table 2, entries 1 and 2). The same poor result was observed when (+/-)-6 was treated with NaHMDS in THF (Table 2, entry 3). When the bromination products of amides 5 and 6 were treated with tert- BuOK, only (+/-)-1 was obtained in 74% yield from both amides.

In all these attempts to obtain (+/-)-2 via the bromination-dehydrobromination-1,4-addition sequence the main product was always (+/-)-3-bromoverongiaquinol (1). These results clearly pointed out that the use of a strong base was not appropriate to promote the requisite 1,4-addition, probably due to the reversible nature of this addition under strongly basic conditions. Then, we turned our attention to the use of DBU, a weaker base which is known to promote addition under strongly basic conditions. We next investigated the bromination reaction of amides 5 and 6 (Table 2, entries 1 and 2). The same poor result was observed when (+/-)-5 was treated with NaHMDS in THF (Table 2, entry 3). When the bromination products of amides 5 and 6 were treated with tert-BuOK, only (+/-)-1 was obtained in 74% yield from both amides.

In all these attempts to obtain (+/-)-2 via the bromination-dehydrobromination-1,4-addition sequence the main product was always (+/-)-3-bromoverongiaquinol (1). These results clearly pointed out that the use of a strong base was not appropriate to promote the requisite 1,4-addition, probably due to the reversible nature of this addition under strongly basic conditions. Then, we turned our attention to the use of DBU, a weaker base which is known to promote dehydrobromination to generate unsaturated ketones. In fact, after bromination of silyl amide (+/-)-5 in CH₃CN at 0 ºC, treatment of the crude product with 1.0 equiv. of DBU provided (+/-)-2 in 20% overall yield (Table 2, entry 4) with (+/-)-1 as the major product (47% yield).

Finally, we explored the formation of 5-monobromocavernicolin (2) from 3-bromoverongiaquinol (1) by treating the latter compound in CH₃CN with 1.0 equiv. of DBU (Scheme 4). The reaction provided (+/-)-2, in 13% yield (25% based on recovered starting material), and its regioisomer (+/-)-8 (25% yield). In spite of the competitive formation of (+/-)-8, this result demonstrates the feasibility of the conversion of (+/-)-1 to (+/-)-2 under basic conditions.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of (+/-)-5-monobromocavernicolin (2) and lactam (+/-)-8

Table 2. Cyclization products derived from amide 5 and 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Base (equiv.)</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>(+/-)-1 (%)</th>
<th>(+/-)-2 (%)</th>
<th>(+/-)-8 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>LDA (1.0)</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TMS</td>
<td>LDA (3.0)</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>NaHMDS (1.0)</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>TMS</td>
<td>DBU (1.0)</td>
<td>CH₃CN</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scheme 4. Synthesis of (+/-)-5-monobromocavernicolin (2) from 3-bromoverongiaquinol (1)

Synthetic 5-monobromocavernicolin (2) displayed spectroscopic (IR, ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR) and mass spectrum data identical to those reported by Pietra and coworkers for natural 5-monobromocavernicolin (2), thus confirming the assignment of its relative configuration.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Instrumentation**

¹H-RMN and ¹³C-RMN were record on a Varian Gemini instrument operating at 300 and 75 MHz, respectively, or on a Varian Inova instrument operating at 500 and 125 MHz, respectively. Infrared spectra were obtained with a Thermo Nicolet IR-200 instrument. Mass spectra were measured on a VG Autospec instrument using EI technique at 70 eV or on a QToF Ultima Waters using ESI technique.

2-(1-Hydroxy-4-oxo-2,5-cyclohexadienyl)-N-trimethylsilyl acetamide (5) / 2-(1-hydroxy-4-oxo-2,5-cyclohexadienyl) acetamide (6)

To a flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, under argon atmosphere and at -78 ºC was added disopropylamine (0.20 mL, 1.41 mmol) and THF (12.0 mL). To this solution was added n-BuLi (2.35 M soin, hexanes, 0.60 mL, 1.41 mmol) and after stirring 5 min at -78 ºC, N,O-bistrimethylsilylacetamide (BSA, 0.70 mL, 2.82 mmol) was added and stirring was maintained for 30 min at the same temperature. The reaction mixture was cooled to -100 ºC for the addition of a soln. of 1,4-benzoquinone (0.152 g, 1.41 mmol) in THF (5.0 mL) and the reaction mixture was kept at -78 ºC for 3 h. The reaction
The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate as eluent). The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at rt, a satd. soln. of NH₄Cl was added and the mixture was treated with anhydrous MgSO₄ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (1:9 hexanes/ethyl acetate as eluent) to afford (+/-)-1 (0.015 g, 0.06 mmol) in 26% yield. After column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate as eluent), the solvent was recovered starting material from 3-bromoverongiaquinol (5). Additionally, it was demonstrated that 5-monobromo-4-oxo-2,5-cyclohexadienyl-N-trimethylsilyl acetamide was obtained in 18% yield as a white solid (mp 101-103°C) and 6 (0.091 g, 0.54 mmol) in 38% yield as a white solid (mp 114-115°C).

Analytical data for 2-(1-Hydroxy-4-oxo-2,5-cyclohexadienyl)-N-trimethylsilyl acetamide (5): IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3359, 2929, 1682, 1404, 1072 and 845. HRMS: m/z 130.0, 151.6, 127.7, 70.5, 48.8 and 1.5.

Analytical data for 2-(1-Hydroxy-4-oxo-2,5-cyclohexadienyl)-N-trimethylsilyl acetamide (6): IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3359, 2929, 1660, 1622, 1402 and 1032. HRMS: m/z 130.0, 151.6, 127.7, 70.5, 48.8 and 1.5. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. After column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate as eluent), the residue was removed under reduced pressure. The mixture was warmed up to rt, satd. NH₄Cl soln. was added and after drying over MgSO₄ and filtration through a pad of Celite, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. After column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate as eluent), 5 (0.062 g, 0.26 mmol) was obtained in 18% yield as a white solid (mp 101-103°C) and 6 (0.091 g, 0.54 mmol) in 38% yield as a white solid (mp 114-115°C).

Analytical data for 2-(1-Hydroxy-4-oxo-2,5-cyclohexadienyl)-N-trimethylsilyl acetamide (5): IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3359, 2929, 1682, 1404, 1072 and 845. HRMS: m/z 130.0, 151.6, 127.7, 70.5, 48.8 and 1.5. HRMS (70 eV, m/z): 209.0; calcd. for C₁₇H₁₇NO₃S- M⁺, 209.0977 (found: 209.0971).

Analytical data for 2-(1-Hydroxy-4-oxo-2,5-cyclohexadienyl)-N-trimethylsilyl acetamide (6): IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3359, 2929, 1660, 1622, 1402 and 1032. HRMS: m/z 130.0, 151.6, 127.7, 70.5, 48.8 and 1.5. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. After column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate as eluent), the residue was removed under reduced pressure. The mixture was warmed up to rt, satd. NH₄Cl soln. was added and after drying over MgSO₄ and filtration through a pad of Celite, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. After column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate as eluent), 5 (0.062 g, 0.26 mmol) was obtained in 18% yield as a white solid (mp 101-103°C) and 6 (0.091 g, 0.54 mmol) in 38% yield as a white solid (mp 114-115°C).

Analytical data for 2-(1-Hydroxy-4-oxo-2,5-cyclohexadienyl)-N-trimethylsilyl acetamide (5): IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3359, 2929, 1682, 1404, 1072 and 845. HRMS: m/z 130.0, 151.6, 127.7, 70.5, 48.8 and 1.5. HRMS (70 eV, m/z): 209.0; calcd. for C₁₇H₁₇NO₃S- M⁺, 209.0977 (found: 209.0971).

Analytical data for 2-(1-Hydroxy-4-oxo-2,5-cyclohexadienyl)-N-trimethylsilyl acetamide (6): IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3359, 2929, 1660, 1622, 1402 and 1032. HRMS: m/z 130.0, 151.6, 127.7, 70.5, 48.8 and 1.5. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. After column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate as eluent), the residue was removed under reduced pressure. The mixture was warmed up to rt, satd. NH₄Cl soln. was added and after drying over MgSO₄ and filtration through a pad of Celite, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. After column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate as eluent), 5 (0.062 g, 0.26 mmol) was obtained in 18% yield as a white solid (mp 101-103°C) and 6 (0.091 g, 0.54 mmol) in 38% yield as a white solid (mp 114-115°C).