DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF A METHOD FOR THE ANALYSIS OF OCHRATOXIN A IN ROASTED COFFEE BY LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY/ELECTROSPRAY-MASS SPECTROMETRY IN TANDEM (LC/ESI-MS/MS)
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A method using LC/ESI-MS/MS for the quantitative analysis of Ochratoxin A in roasted coffee was described. Linearity was demonstrated ($r = 0.9175$). The limits of detection and quantification were 1.0 and 3.0 ng g$^{-1}$, respectively. Trueness, repeatability and intermediate precision values were 89.0-108.8%; 2.4-13.7%; 12.5-17.8%, respectively. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report in which Ochratoxin A in roasted coffee is analysed by LC/ESI-MS/MS, contributing to the field of mycotoxin analysis, and it will be used for future production of Certified Reference Material.
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INTRODUCTION

Coffee is an extremely complex food matrix and has an important role in the world’s economy, especially in producing and exporting countries like Brazil, which is the third largest consumer of coffee, according to the Brazilian Association of Coffee Industry. However, this product may suffer technical barriers imposed to exportation because of the possible presence of ochratoxin A.$^{1}$

Ochratoxin A (OTA) is classified by International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) as a potent nephrotoxic and nephrocarcinogenic mycotoxin. It is produced by several Aspergillus and Penicillium species; being A. westerdijkiae the most common found in Brazilian coffee (84.0%). OTA is related to Balken Endemic Nephropathy (BEN) an endemic fatal disease in south-eastern Europe and to the development of urinary tract tumors (ITT) in humans.$^{2-6}$ This mycotoxin has been found in food commodities such as cereals, oleaginous seeds, wine, meat, cocoa, spices, dried fruits, grapes, beer, green, roasted and instant coffee.$^{7,20}$

Once OTA is formed, it survives in most of food-processing steps, such as cooking, fermenting and roasting, as in the case of coffee beans. Roasted coffee produces the most different results in terms of OTA stability, since losses were reported in the range of 0-100%.$^{1,12,28}$ According to a report from 2002 on the assessment of dietary intake of OTA by the population of the EU members states, the participation of coffee was estimated in 10%. This contributes significantly to human intake of this mycotoxin.$^{1}$

The maximum limits for OTA are regulated in many countries but not in Brazil. A regulatory limit of 5.0 ng g$^{-1}$ for OTA in roasted coffee has been established by European Union (EU, 2005/123/EC). This reference value was followed because main markets for Brazilian coffee are in European countries, like Italy and Germany (EU). This established limit is very important as it aimes to protect consumers’ health.$^{26,27}$

Several techniques have been described for determining OTA in food matrix using thin-layer chromatography (TLC), gas chromatography (GC), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), high performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detector (HPLC-FLD) and liquid chromatography–mass spectroscopy (LC/MS).$^{7,16,19,28-31}$ The Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International (AOAC) recommends a method for the determination of OTA is based on TLC and HPLC-FLD. Method proposed by Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento (MAPA) is based on HPLC-FLD. Sometimes, positive findings are confirmed by methylation of OTA and a second HPLC experiment. Due to its robustness and cost-effective handling thin-layer chromatography (TLC) with FLD detection is still routinely used in countries outside Europe and North America. The major disadvantage of this technique is the comparable low sensitivity towards OTA (ppb range) and frequently interferences with the sample matrix.$^{8,9,32}$ GC-based methods found distinctly less attention since they suffer from a time-consuming and error-prone derivatisation protocol (methylation), needed to achieve sufficient volatility of the analytes. Nevertheless, GC/MS can be used to confirm unambiguously positive findings. Enzyme Linked Immuno Sorbent Assay (Elisa) is shown to be extremely suitable for a rapid screening of large sample numbers. They offer sensitivity of OTA comparable to FLD detection. Due to possible cross-reactivities with matrix components, confirmation by other technique is, therefore, highly desirable to avoid false positive results or inaccurate and overestimated quantitative data. In this context, LC/MS is also an excellent tool to elucidate the structure and predominantly applied to confirm positive results obtained by...
Development and validation of a method for the analysis of Ochratoxin A

The absence of available Certified Reference Materials (CRM) poses a challenge in confirming the presence of Ochratoxin A (OTA) in food samples. This study aimed to develop and validate a new analytical method for the analysis of OTA in food, particularly coffee, to ensure compliance with EU regulations.

### Material

The reagents used were sodium bicarbonate (Tedia, USA, 99.7%), potassium dihydrogen phosphate (Merck, Germany, 99.0%), anhydrous disodium hydrogen phosphate (Merck, Germany, 99.0%), sodium chloride (Spectrum, USA, 99.0%), potassium chloride (Merck, Germany, 99.5%) and potassium dichromate (Merck, Germany, 99.5%). The solvents used were methanol HPLC grade (Tedia, USA, 99.9%), sulfuric acid (Merck, Germany, 97.0%), trifluoroacetic acid HPLC grade (Tedia, USA, 99.8%), acetic anhydride grade (Tedia, USA, 99.8%), glacial acetic acid (Tedia, USA, 99.9%), toluene HPLC grade (Tedia, USA, 99.8%), benzene (Merck, Germany, 99.5%), acetic acid (Tedia, USA, 99.8%), ethyl alcohol (Quimex, Brazil, 95.0%) and sodium hypochlorite (Inverma, Brazil, 12.0%).

### Experimental

Saline phosphate buffer water solution (PBS) at pH 7 was used (0.020% potassium dihydrogen phosphate, 0.110% anhydrous disodium hydrogen phosphate, 0.800% sodium chloride and 0.020% potassium chloride).

**Crystalline Ochratoxin A** standard was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, 98.0%, USA).

**The immunoaffinity columns (IA)** were Ochratest™ WB (Vicam Inc., Watertown, USA).

### Standard Solution

Stock standard solution (40 μg mL⁻¹) was prepared in a mixture of toluene and glacial acetic acid (99:1). The nominal concentration was calculated by UV spectrophotometry at INCQS/FIOCRUZ assuming a molar absorption coefficient of 5440 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (333 nm), according to the recommendation of AOAC. This solution was stored in a freezer at -18 ºC. More diluted solutions of 100.0 ng g⁻¹ were prepared by weighting an appropriate mass of the intermediate solution as it follows. In a 4.0 mL glass flask, previously tared, a mass of 0.14748 g of intermediate solution was weighed. Then, it was transferred quantitatively, in a 250 mL amber bottle, previously tared, weighted 100.096 g of mobile phase. Next, the 4.0 mL flask was carefully inserted inside the bottle containing the mobile phase and homogenized to obtain a final solution.

### Extraction of OTA from roasted coffee

The sample preparation procedure was based on Pittet’s work. An aliquot of 25.0 g of roasted coffee samples was weighted into a 250 mL amber glass flask and fortified with standard solution and kept at room temperature overnight. Then, it was transferred quantitatively, in addition of 190.0 g of a mixture of methanol and an aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution 3.0% (1:1), and mixed in a blender for 5 min at low speed. The homogenated sample was filtered through three filters under vacuum using a qualitative paper JP41 28 μm. The filtrate was diluted with a saline phosphate buffer and homogenized. This extract was eluted into the IA column at a flow rate of 2.0-3.0 mL min⁻¹. After that, the IA column was flushed with 10.0 mL of Milli-Q water at the same flow rate and then slightly dried by vacuum for 30 s. An aliquot of 4.0 mL of methanol HPLC was added and then a period of 3 min was waited to allow the solvent to permeate the gel before elution step. The OTA was collected in a test tube. The extract was reconstituted with 1.0 g of mobile phase and homogenized in a vortex (Phoenix, USA).

### Calibration curve

After optimization’s method, two calibration curves were developed: one with the matrix (fortified samples) and another without the matrix. Both of them were prepared by gravimetric dilution at 5 levels (3.0; 4.0; 5.0; 6.0 and 7.0 ng g⁻¹). The standard calibration curve was prepared by adding OTA standard solution (100.0 ng g⁻¹) in mobile phase. While the matrix-matched standard curve was prepared by adding the same standard solution into roasted coffee samples (matrix-matched standard curve). Each level was injected in 3 replicates.

Elisa or HPLC-FLD. Only few new LC/MS studies were published for OTA applying different liquid injection. They are focused on the mass spectrometric properties such as ionization efficiency and in-source fragmentation. LC/ESI-MS/MS is especially helpful in confirming doubtful “Ochratoxin A positive” results and the sensitive is enhanced by operating the MS in the selected reaction monitoring mode (SRM). Coelution problem of interfering compounds and retention time shifts could lead to erroneous positive or negative results can be overcome by structural elucidation provided by coupling of LC and mass spectrometry (MS). Validation procedures of analytical method are necessary for legislation implementation to show that the method produces reliable results, provide accurate and reproducible results for monitoring and risk-assessment studies. The absence of available Certified Reference Material (CRM) of OTA in roasted coffee is also an issue related to the validation of methods.

In general, and no matters the applied detection technique, there is a need for a careful sample clean up. The extract is usually purified by solid-phase extraction, or by using immunoaffinity absorbent materials, or by a combination of both. An overview of the process is such that the toxin is usually extracted with water, organic solvents, salt aqueous solution and acids or several mixtures. Speciality for coffee, it is important to have an adequate clean up to remove substances like lipids and pigments that could interfere in the analytical techniques.

Validation procedures of analytical method are necessary for legislation implementation to show that the method produces reliable results, provide accurate and reproducible results for monitoring and risk-assessment studies. The absence of available Certified Reference Material (CRM) of OTA in roasted coffee is also an issue related to the validation of methods.

Due to the possible risk of Ochratoxin A as a contaminant in coffee (human health problem), as well as the important role of this product in the world’s economy, there is a strong need of a validated method in this area that could be used by coffee-producing and -exporting countries to check the compliance of consignments with criteria set by importing countries. The purpose of this study was to develop and validate new analytical method to analyze OTA in roasted coffee. This method will be modern, fast and efficient, using matrix-matched calibration by Liquid Chromatography Tandem Mass Spectrometry coupled with electrospray ionization (LC/ESI-MS/MS). This procedure was based on the European Union decision 2002/657/EC and INMETRO’s document DOC-CGCRE-008/2010. This study is the first step in producing a CRM for OTA in food samples, such as coffee.
LC/ESI-MS/MS analysis

Analyses were performed with a 1200L LC/ESI-MS/MS triple quadrupole (Varian, Walnut, Creek, CA, USA). The mass spectrometer detector was equipped with an electro spray (ESI) ionization operating in the positive mode. The LC was equipped with mobile phase pumps (ProStar 210), a degasser on line, an auto sampler (ProStar 410) and a column thermostat. The ESI interface was calibrated using a polypropylene glycol solution (PFG) provided by manufacturer, and ESI parameters were optimized by direct infusion of standard solution into the mass spectrometer.

The mass spectrometer was operated in Selective Reaction Monitoring (SRM) mode with positive electrospray ionization (ESI+) to confirm the identity of OTA. The LC/MS/MS parameters were obtained through direct infusion of the standard solution of OTA: needle voltage 5000 V, shield voltage 600 V, capillary voltage 50 V, housing temperature at 40 °C and detector voltage 1600 V. High purity nitrogen was used as nebulizer gas (40 psi) and as drying gas (21 psi; 340 °C). After, standard solution breakdown curves were constructed. Through these curves, precursor-to-product ions were selected according to the most abundant colision energy and high mass. The precursor ion (pseudo-molecular) [M+H]+ was selected in the first quadrupole (Q1). After this ion was fragmented, using ultrapure argon at 2.0 mTorr as collision gas in the second quadrupole (Q2). The product ions [M+H]+ m/z 239 and m/z 358 were obtained in the third quadrupole (Q3) and were used for SRM mode.

Separations were conducted using a Synergi Hydro C18 column (75 mm x 2.0 mm i.d.; 4 μm Phenomenex, Torrance, California, USA) with a Security guard column (KJO-4282, AQ C18, 4 x 2.0 mm) at 25 °C. Mobile phase was water with 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid and methanol with 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid (20:80) at flow rate of 0.3 mL min⁻¹. A different series of test results and an accepted reference value. When no Certified Reference Material (CRM) is available, it is acceptable that trueness of measurements is assessed through recovery of additions of known amounts of the element to the unknown samples.

The recovery of OTA in roasted coffee was obtained from spiked samples of roasted coffee at three levels of contamination (3.5, 5.0 and 6.5 ng g⁻¹). The mean recovery values calculated in the roasted coffee was compared with the theoretical concentration. The acceptance criteria is a recovery between 70 and 110% according to Codex Alimentarius Commission. The precision was expressed by repeatability and intermediate precision by the relative standard deviation. The repeatability (RSD %) was obtained from analysis conducted by the same analyst on the same day and the intermediate precision (RSDi) was obtained by a different condition (different analyst on different day) at the same concentration level as the recovery. According to the Codex Alimentarius Commission, the acceptance criteria is equal or lower than 20% for RSDi and equal or lower than 30% for RSDx. Furthermore, the intermediate precision was also assessed by test F and t Student for variances analysis and averages in the same concentration that was used for recovery.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First, the selective ion monitoring (SIM) mode was tested and good results were obtained. However, in the working range of the calibration curve the ions monitored were not well observed due to high noise and poor peak resolution. Thus, the SIM mode was not used for further development and method validation for OTA analysis (data not shown).

Therefore, the standard solution breakdown curves were obtained by direct infusion of OTA and precursor-to-product ions in the positive electrospray mass spectra (ESI-MS) were observed and used for the selective reaction monitoring (SRM) mode using the protonated molecule [M+H]+ m/z 404, presented in Figure 1. After analysis of the breakdown curves, precursor-to-product transitions were obtained for the performance of the SRM.

The European Union Commission Decision (EC-657/2002) states that confirmatory methods for residue analysis should provide information on chemical structure of analyte. For liquid chromatography coupled with a mass spectrometry detector four identification points are required that can be accomplished by monitoring one precursor ion and two product ions. The method developed and validated in this study monitored two ions according to their collision energies: m/z 404>239 (-20.5 V) and m/z 404>358 (-10.5 V) for quantification and confirmation of OTA. The product ion showing the highest intensity was used for quantification, m/z 239, which corresponded to the loss of phenylalanine for OTA.

The experimental results were checked for the presence of outliers through Grubbs test before the validation process, and none of the results were considered an outlier.

Linearity

The calibration curve was obtained using the linear least square regression procedure of the peak area versus the concentration.
The linearity for OTA was good as shown by the linear correlation coefficient ($r$) above 0.9891 and 0.9175 for standard solution and matrix-matched calibration of OTA, respectively. Figure 2 shows the results of the regression parameters of the OTA standard solution and matrix-matched calibration curves.

The calibration line was plotted with the residuals (the difference of the experimental points from the fitted line) as a function of concentration. An acceptable fit from the matrix-matched calibration curve showed a random pattern of residues in the working range (Figure 3), indicating a system free of bias confirming the method’s linearity. The value of Cochran’s test was 0.58 ($C_{\text{calc}}$), lower than the value of 0.68 ($C_{\text{tab}}$) for the 5 levels of matrix-matches calibration curve with three replicates, proving the homoscedasticity.

Specificity

Figure 4 shows a chromatogram of spiked roasted coffee in 3.0 ng g$^{-1}$ and an example for blank roasted coffee. No interference from the matrix around the retention time of OTA was observed, thus the method is considered specific for this analysis.

Matrix effect

Comparing the slope values from both calibration curves, it can be observed that the values are different, indicating significant differences between the calibration curves of matrix and solvent. This means that there is a matrix effect on the response to the linearity. In this case, all subsequent validation parameters were accomplished using a matrix-matched calibration curve.

Limit of quantification (LOQ) and limit of detection (LOD)

The limit of quantification (LOQ) was 3.0 ng g$^{-1}$ in roasted coffee samples, which is the first concentration level of the matrix-matched calibration curve proposed by this study. The limit of detection (LOD) was 1.0 ng g$^{-1}$. This value was higher than determined by other
techniques already described in the literature, such as fluorescence detector. 8,35

Accuracy

The trueness values obtained in the present study for different concentrations of OTA were between 89.0 and 108.8% and they are in accordance to Codex Alimentarius Commission for a contamination level less than 10.0 ng g⁻¹. 27 Higher recovery rates were found for the low contamination level. Table 1 shows recovery values, which were considered satisfactory for roasted coffee samples in all fortification levels, and were similar to those obtained with fluorescence detection methods currently in use, such as Enterwistle et al. (65-97%) and Pittet et al. (89-100%). 37,43 On the other hand, this method presents recovery values higher than those reported by Ahmed et al., 73-86%; Ventura et al., 82%; Sibanda, De Saeger and van Peteghem, 72-84% and Gilbert and Ankäm, 85%.

Table 1. Mean recoveries (%), repeatability (RSDᵣ (%)) and intermediate precision (RSDₐ (%)) values from matrix-matched calibration curve at three different levels of fortification (n=3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level (ng g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Mean Recoveries (%)</th>
<th>RSDᵣ (%)</th>
<th>RSDₐ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>108.8</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All RSDᵣ values are within acceptable levels for repeatability, ranging between 2.4 and 13.7 that are lower than 20% according to Codex Alimentarius Commission. 26 The RSDₐ values for intermediate precision, ranging between 12.5 and 17.8 are lower than 30%; both RSDᵣ and RSDₐ were better than the values obtained from Gilbert and Ankäm and from Enterwistle et al. 26,43 According to test t and F, intermediate precision was equivalent since the Fₑᵣ value (1.3) was lower than the Fₑᵣ value (19.0) for OTA, so it can be concluded that the two analysts are not significantly different from each other and the method has adequate intermediate precision.

Sixteen samples of roasted coffee acquired from the local market were analyzed, 31% contained detectable levels of OTA, ranging between 0.09 and 9 ng g⁻¹, with two samples showing detectable level of OTA above 5.0 ng g⁻¹, which is the accepted limit for roasted coffee.

CONCLUSIONS

The analytical methodology purposed provides good results in term of linearity, specificity, accuracy, precision, limit of detection and quantification for determination of OTA in roasted coffee. LC/ESI-MS/MS in combination with SRM mode is a very sensitive and useful method and has several advantages compared to established methods. First, the sensitivity is comparable to that obtained with fluorescence detection, currently in use. Next, the problem of coelution of interfering compounds can be overcome by the structure information provided by tandem mass spectrometry. LC/ESI-MS/MS is especially helpful to confirm doubtful “OTA positive” results obtained by other techniques.

The validation parameters measured are within acceptable limits and were considered satisfactory. Linearity was demonstrated for contamination levels between 3.0 and 7.0 ng g⁻¹. LOD and LOQ were 1.0 and 3.0 ng g⁻¹ respectively. Trueness, RSD and RSDₐ values were between 89.0-108.8%; 2.4-13.7%; 12.5-17.8%, respectively.

The analytical procedure is adequate enough to be used in the analysis of OTA contamination in roasted coffee samples, not only for ensuring compliance with tolerances and guidelines, but also for monitoring, surveying study and researching. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report in which Ochratoxin A in roasted coffee is analysed by LC/ESI-MS/MS using the matrix-matched calibration method. The study proposed in this article contributes to the field of mycotoxin analysis that will be used for future production of Certified Reference Material (CRM).
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