

DETECTION AND QUANTIFICATION OF PHYTOCHEMICAL MARKERS OF *Ilex paraguariensis* BY LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHYRodrigo M. C. Pinto^a, Bruna M. Lemes^a, Acácio A. F. Zielinski^b, Traudi Klein^a, Fernando de Paula^c, Airton Kist^d, Anna S. F. Marques^e, Alessandro Nogueira^b, Ivo M. Demiate^b and Flávio L. Beltrame^{a,*}^aDepartamento de Ciências Farmacêuticas, Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa, 84030-900 Ponta Grossa – PR, Brasil^bDepartamento de Engenharia de Alimentos, Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa, 84030-900 Ponta Grossa – PR, Brasil^cApex Science Consultoria Analítica Ltda, 13070-172 Campinas – SP, Brasil^dDepartamento de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa, 84030-900 Ponta Grossa – PR, Brasil**Table 1S.** Factors evaluated in the 'Design Space' screening stage

Run	Organic modifier	Gradient time (min)	pH	Column	Run	Organic modifier	Gradient time (min)	pH	Column
1	Acetonitrile	12.0	2.4	BEH C ₈	25	Methanol	8.5	2.4	CSH <i>phenyl-hexyl</i>
2	Acetonitrile	12.0	2.4	CSH PFP	26	Methanol	5.0	2.4	HSS T ₃
3	Acetonitrile	5.0	2.4	CSH PFP	27	Methanol	12.0	2.4	HSS T ₃
4	Acetonitrile	12.0	2.4	CSH <i>phenyl-hexyl</i>	28	Methanol	10.3	2.4	CSH PFP
5	Acetonitrile	5.0	2.4	CSH <i>phenyl-hexyl</i>	29	Methanol	8.5	5.0	HSS T ₃
6	Acetonitrile	8.5	2.4	HSS T ₃	30	Methanol	8.5	5.0	HSS T ₃
7	Acetonitrile	5.0	2.4	CSH PFP	31	Methanol	8.5	5.0	CSH PFP
8	Acetonitrile	8.5	5.0	CSH <i>phenyl-hexyl</i>	32	Methanol	8.5	5.0	BEH C ₈
9	Acetonitrile	8.5	5.0	HSS T ₃	33	Methanol	12.0	2.4	BEH C ₈
10	Acetonitrile	8.5	5.0	BEH C ₈	34	Methanol	8.5	5.0	CSH PFP
11	Acetonitrile	5.0	5.0	BEH C ₈	35	Methanol	8.5	5.0	CSH <i>phenyl-hexyl</i>
12	Acetonitrile	8.5	5.0	HSS T ₃	36	Methanol	8.5	5.0	CSH <i>phenyl-hexyl</i>
13	Acetonitrile	8.5	5.0	BEH C ₈	37	Methanol	12.0	5.0	BEH C ₈
14	Acetonitrile	8.5	5.0	CSH PFP	38	Methanol	5.0	5.0	CSH <i>phenyl-hexyl</i>
15	Acetonitrile	8.5	5.0	CSH <i>phenyl-hexyl</i>	39	Methanol	8.5	7.0	BEH C ₈
16	Acetonitrile	8.5	5.0	CSH PFP	40	Methanol	6.8	7.0	HSS T ₃
17	Acetonitrile	8.5	7.0	CSH PFP	41	Methanol	12.0	7.0	CSH PFP
18	Acetonitrile	12.0	7.0	CSH PFP	42	Methanol	5.0	7.0	CSH PFP
19	Acetonitrile	5.5	7.0	HSS T ₃	43	Methanol	6.8	7.0	BEH C ₈
20	Acetonitrile	12.0	7.0	BEH C ₈	44	Methanol	10.3	7.0	CSH <i>phenyl-hexyl</i>
21	Acetonitrile	12.0	7.0	CSH <i>phenyl-hexyl</i>	45	Methanol	12.0	7.0	CSH PFP
22	Acetonitrile	5.0	7.0	CSH <i>phenyl-hexyl</i>					
23	Methanol	5.0	2.4	BEH C ₈					
24	Methanol	12.0	2.4	HSS T ₃					

^a Columns: BEH C₈: Ethylene bridged hybrid; CSH PFP: Charged surface hybrid – propyl fluoro phenyl; HSS T₃: High strength silica; CSH *phenyl-hexyl*: Charged surface hybrid *phenyl-hexyl*.

Table 2S. Factors evaluated in the 'Design Space' optimization stage

Run	Flow rate (mL. min ⁻¹)	Final % of organic modi- fier	Temperature (°C)	pH
1	0.400	70.0	25.0	2.17
2	0.400	62.5	25.0	2.17
3	0.700	70.0	25.0	2.17
4	0.700	55.0	25.0	2.17
5	0.400	55.0	25.0	2.40
6	0.600	62.5	25.0	2.40
7	0.400	70.0	25.0	3.00
8	0.700	70.0	25.0	3.00
9	0.700	55.0	25.0	3.00
10	0.700	62.5	25.0	3.00
11	0.400	70.0	25.0	3.00
12	0.600	66.3	30.0	2.17
13	0.600	58.8	30.0	2.17
14	0.400	55.0	30.0	2.17
15	0.700	62.5	30.0	2.40
16	0.600	62.5	30.0	2.40
17	0.600	62.5	30.0	2.40
18	0.600	55.0	30.0	3.00
19	0.700	70.0	30.0	3.00
20	0.500	66.3	30.0	3.00
21	0.500	58.8	30.0	3.00
22	0.500	66.3	35.0	2.17
23	0.500	58.8	35.0	2.17
24	0.600	66.3	35.0	3.00
25	0.600	58.8	35.0	3.00
26	0.700	62.5	40.0	2.17
27	0.400	55.0	40.0	2.17
28	0.400	62.5	40.0	2.17
29	0.700	70.0	40.0	2.17
30	0.700	70.0	40.0	2.17
31	0.700	55.0	40.0	2.17
32	0.400	70.0	40.0	2.40
33	0.600	55.0	40.0	2.40
34	0.700	55.0	40.0	3.00
35	0.700	62.5	40.0	3.00
36	0.400	55.0	40.0	3.00
37	0.400	62.5	40.0	3.00
38	0.600	70.0	40.0	3.00
39	0.400	55.0	40.0	3.00